From the INTERNATIONAL P INARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY To: JARO, Michael, J. JUN 3 1 2004 IP Legal Department 3576 Unocal Place WRITTEN OPINION Santa Rosa, CA 95403 **ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE** (PCT Rule 66) Date of mailing 15.06.2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference **REPLY DUE** within 3 month(s) P1312 PCT from the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US 03/29062 16.09.2003 22.10.2002 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K48/00, A61K48/00 MEDTRONIC AVE, INC. 1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Ш **Priority** \boxtimes Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Ш I۷ Lack of unity of invention \boxtimes Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ۷I Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII 🗆 Certain observations on the international application The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, DOCKETED request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. MDC For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. RED BOOK_L Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. 2nd Review For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. PED TO If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. アWO The final date by which the international preliminary 5 JAGF 260 examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 22.02.2005 Name and mailing address of the international **Authorized Officer** preliminary examining authority: Böhmerova, E

European Pa D-80298 Mu

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tet. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)

Ladurner, Y

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7913



Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)



International application No.

I. Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"):

| | Description, Pages | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 1-2 | 21 | as originally filed | | | | |
| | Cla | aims, Numbers | | | | | |
| | 1-2 | 28 | as originally filed | | | | |
| | Dra | awings, Sheets | | | | | |
| | 1/5 | -5/5 | as originally filed | | | | |
| 2. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this A language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this ite | | | | | | | |
| | These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is: | | | | | | |
| | | the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). | | | | | |
| 3. | With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: | | | | | | |
| | | □ contained in the international application in written form. | | | | | |
| | ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form. | | | | | | |
| | | furnished subseque | ntly to this Authority in written form. | | | | |
| | | ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. | | | | | |
| | The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. | | | | | | |
| | ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. | | | | | | |
| 4. | The | e amendments have r | esulted in the cancellation of: | | | | |
| | | the description, | pages: | | | | |
| | | the claims, | Nos.: | | | | |
| | | the drawings, | sheets: | | | | |
| 5. | | This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)). | | | | | |
| 6. | Additional observations, if necessary: | | | | | | |



| III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | Ш. | Non-establishment | of opinion with re | gard to novelty. | , inventive ster | p and industrial ar | oplicability |
|---|----|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|
|---|----|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|

| 1. | obv | he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ovious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of: | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | | the entire international applica | ation, | | | | | |
| | Ø | claims Nos. 1-19 | | | | | | |
| | | because: | | | | | | |
| the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-19 relate to the following subject modes not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | | | | | | | |
| | see separate sheet | | | | | | | |
| | | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | | | | |
| | | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | | | | | |
| | | no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. | | | | | | |
| 2. | A w | vritten opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to mply with the Standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions: | | | | | | |
| | | the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard. | | | | | | |
| | | the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard. | | | | | | |
| ٧. | Rea app | asoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial plicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | | |
| 1. | Stat | Statement | | | | | | |
| | Nov | elty (N) | Claims | 1-28 | | | | |
| | Inve | ntive step (IS) . | Claims | 1-28 | | | | |
| | Indu | strial applicability (IA) | Claims | 20-28 | | | | |
| > | Citat | tions and explanations | | | | | | |

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 1-19 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Cited documents

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 97/16169 A (CHIRON CORP) 9 May 1997
- D2: SHARIFI BEHROOZ G ET AL: "Adeno-associated virus-mediated apo A-I milano genetherapy for atherosclerosis and restenosis" JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY, vol. 37, no. 2 Supplement A, February 2001, pages 270A-271A
- D3: CHEN M ET AL: "DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF A RECOMBINANT TRUNCATED TYPE VII COLLAGEN MINIGENE" JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTS, vol. 275, no. 32, 11 August 2000, pages 24429-24435
- D4: SHAH PREDIMAN K ET AL: "High-dose recombinant apolipoprotein A-Imilano mobilizes tissue cholesterol and rapidly reduces plague lipid and macrophage content in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice: Potential implications for acute plaque stabilization" CIRCULATION, vol. 103, no. 25, 26 June 2001, pages 3047-3050
- D5: CHIESA GIULIA ET AL: "Recombinant apolipoprotein A-IMilano infusion into rabbit carotid artery rapidly removes lipid from fatty streaks" CIRCULATION RESEARCH, vol. 90, no. 9, 17 May 2002, pages 974-980
- D6: BARNES MICHAEL J ET AL: "Collagens and atherosclerosis" EXPERIMENTAL GERONTOLOGY, vol. 34, no. 4, July 1999, pages 513-525

Unless indicated otherwise reference is made to the passages considered relevant in the search report.

Novelty

The subject-matter of claims 1-11, 15-19 lacks novelty under Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT over the disclosure of D1 teaching the use of gene therapy in the treatment and prevention of cardiovascular diseases including plaque rupture. The subject-matter of claims 20,21,23-26,28 lacks novelty over D2 disclosing adeno-associated virus coding apo A-I Milano and the use thereof for the treatment of atherosclerosis. The subjectmatter of claims 20-22, 25,26,28 lacks novelty under over the disclosure of D3 teaching eucaryotic expression vector coding truncated collagen VII gene.

The subject-matter of claims 12-14 is considered to be novel under Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT as none of the cited documents teaches the use of a nucleic acid coding for a collagen isoform or apolipoprotein A1 isoform in the treatment of vulnerable plague.

Inventiveness

As the subject-matter of claims 1-11, 15-28 is considered as lacking novelty, no inventiveness can be acknowledged in this stage.

The subject-matter of claims 13,14 is considered as lacking an inventive step under Art. 33(1) and (3) PCT for the following reasons: The beneficial effect of Apo A1 Milano on vulnerable plaque stabilisation is known from D4 and D5. Taking into the account this known activity, it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to employ nucleic acid coding for Apo A1 in the method of gene therapy for preventing plaque rupture as known from D1. Furthermore, the application does not prove that the claimed solution actually solves the technical problem as there are no experimental data showing any effect of the gene therapy as claimed on the vulnerable plaque.

The subject-matter of claim 12 is considered as lacking an inventive step under Art. 33(1) and (3) PCT for the following reasons: It is known from D6 that collagen type I plays the pivotal role in plaque stability and that an important factor leading to plaque

instability is proteolysis of collagen(s) in the cap by metalloproteinases. Taking into the consideration this disclosure of D5, it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to use a collagen isoform gene in the method known form D1. Furthermore, analogically as in the case of Apo A1 Milano gene above, there is no prove in the application that the solution claimed in claim 12 actually solves the technical problem.

Industrial applicability

Subject-matter of independent claims 20-28 is considered to be industrially applicable under Art. 33(1) and (4) PCT.

For the assessment of the present claims 1-19 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.